

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| | | | www.uspto.gov | | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | | |
| 09/528,986 | 03/20/2000 | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| , | 03/20/2000 | Nobuaki Sugita | P101201-00001 | 5980 | |
| 23850 75 | 590 07/24/2002 | | | 3300 | |
| ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP | | | | | |
| 1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | RUTHKOSKY, MARK | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1745 | 15 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 07/24/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| | | | , • • • | , , |
|-----------------|-----|--------------|---------|-----|
| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
| 09/528,986 | | SUGITA | | |
| Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| Mark Ruthkos | sky | 1745 | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCF) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 114

| Examination | on (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
|--|---|
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| b) | he period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In o event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. INLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 06.07(f). |
| Extension fee have been fee under 37 (2) as set for | ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| | lotice of Appeal was filed on <u>10 July 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2. The | proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: |
| (a) 🗌 | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) 🗌 | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c) 🗌 | they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) 🗌 | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: |
| 3.∐ Appl | licant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| 4.☐ New can | vly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment occling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| | ea) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the polication in condition for allowance because: The art reads upon the instant claims as written. |
| | affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly sed by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| | purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \square will not be entered or b) \boxtimes will be entered and an planation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| The | status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| Cla | nim(s) allowed: |
| Cla | aim(s) objected to: |
| Cla | nim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . |
| | nim(s) withdrawn from consideration: |
| 8. The | proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9.☐ Note | e the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 10.∏ Oth | Patrick Ryan Supervison/ Patent Examiner |
| | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office